1	Judge Ronald B. Leighton
2	
l	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
İ	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )
	) NO. CR06-5575RBL Plaintiff, )
	v. ( <del>Producto)</del>
	) ORDER OF CONTINUANCE ROSIE MARIE COSTELLO, and )
	PETE JAMES COSTELLO, )
	Defendants.
	Before this Court is a Stipulated Motion to Extend the Pretrial Motions Deadline
	and to Continue the Trial Date;
	The Court finds, after a consideration of all relevant information and the
	circumstances of this case, that the ends of justice would best be served by the granting
	of this request for continuance. The ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the
	public and the defendant in having the matter brought to trial sooner.
	The Court finds that the parties request to extend the deadline for pretrial
	motions should be GRANTED. The pretrial motions deadline scheduled for December
	26, 2006, is VACATED. The pretrial motions deadline is continued to
ļ	<u>April 2</u> , 2007.
	For all the reasons presented in the files and records herein, the Court finds that
	the parties stipulated request to continue the trial should be GRANTED. The trial date
	currently scheduled for February 5, 2007, is VACATED. Trial shall be rescheduled to
	May 21 , 2007. at 2100 AM
	· ·

Any and all period of delay resulting from the granting of this continuance, from the date of the filing of the parties stipulated request on January 11, 2007, until the date of the rescheduled trial, shall be excludable time pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(1)(F) and (h)(8)(A), and the Court makes the following findings:

- That the failure to grant such a continuance in this case would be a. likely to result in a miscarriage of justice;
- b. That it is in the defendant's best interest to be aware of all of the potential charges against her, and have the opportunity to negotiate settlements on all of those charges;
- That the failure to grant such a continuance in this case would deny c. counsel for the defendants and the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, because of the nature of the prosecution, the existence of a significant amount of complex discovery, novel questions of fact and law, and commitments to other clients;

111

111

111

20

21

25

26

27 28

d. That because of ongoing negotiations and other discovery matters it 1 may be unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the 2 trial itself within the time limits established by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3 3161. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). 4 IT IS SO ORDERED 5 DATED this 12 day of January, 2007. 6 7 8 United States District Judge 9 Presented by: 10 JOHN McKAY 11 United States Attorney 12 By: 13 s/Norman M. Barbosa NORMAN M. BARBOSA 14 Special Assistant United States Attorney WA Bar #28188 15 United States Attorney's Office 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 16 Seattle, WA 98101-1271 Telephone: (206) 553-4937 17 Fax: (206) 553-0755 E-mail: Norman.Barbosa@usdoj.gov 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28